14. WASTE AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

14.1 INTRODUCTION

14.1.1 This Chapter of the Environmental Statement (ES) presents an assessment of the potential effects predicted to arise from waste generation and resource use, resulting from the construction, demolition and operational phases associated with the Proposed Development.

14.1.2 The Proposed Development is described in detail in Chapter 2, but in brief comprises the following:

- An intermodal freight terminal including container storage and HGV parking and an aggregates terminal, rail sidings to serve individual warehouses, and with the capability to also provide a ‘rapid rail freight’ facility as part of the intermodal freight terminal;
- Up to 468,000 sq m (approximately 5 million sq ft) (gross internal area) of warehousing and ancillary buildings, with additional floorspace provided in the form of mezzanines;
- New road infrastructure and works to the existing road network, including the provision of a new access and associated works to the A508, a new bypass to the village of Roade, improvements to Junction 15 and to J15A of the M1 motorway, the A45, and other highway improvements at junctions on the local highway network;
- Strategic landscaping and tree planting, including diverted public rights of way;
- Earthworks and demolition of existing structures on the SRFI site.

14.1.3 This Chapter describes the waste management policy context; the methods used to assess the potential environmental impacts; the baseline conditions at and surrounding the Site; the potential direct, indirect and wider waste management impacts; mitigation measures integral to the Proposed Development; and the significance of residual effects.

14.1.4 This Chapter presents the results of the assessment of the potential environmental effects related to construction and operational waste arisings in terms of the likely quantities of waste arising, the proposed management of the waste on Site and the regional capacity to treat or dispose of residual waste.

14.1.5 Operational waste is only of direct reference to the SRFI development containing buildings and the rail terminal (on the ‘main site’), and not to the highways related elements. Construction and Demolition waste relates to the whole of the Proposed Development including the Main Site but also the development of the highways improvement works.

Consultation

14.1.6 Local waste statistics data has not been available from South Northamptonshire, so following dialogue with the relevant partners county-wide (Northamptonshire) waste statistics have been used to inform this waste assessment.
14.2 LEGISLATION AND PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT

14.2.1 As a producer of waste, the Proposed Development must consider a range of waste legislation. This section details relevant national, regional and local policy.

Relevant Legislation

14.2.2 As a producer of waste, the Proposed Development will be affected by a range of waste legislation, primarily including (but not limited to):

- Control of Pollution (Amendment) Act 2012, (Ref 14.1);
- Controlled Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2012, (Ref 14.2);
- Environment Act 1995, (Ref 14.3);
- The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2015 (as amended), (Ref 14.4);
- The EU Waste Directive (2008/98/EC) and the Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2012 (as amended), (Ref 14.5);
- The Environmental Damage (Prevention and Remediation) Regulations 2015, (Ref 14.6).
- National Networks National Policy Statement (NNNPS), (Ref 14.7).

14.2.3 The key requirements that arise from the regulation, policy and guidance are as follows:

- Waste must be stored in such a way as to prevent it from causing damage to the environment or posing a risk to human health;
- The waste hierarchy must be applied in both the construction and operation of new developments, and waste reduction and re-use should be prioritised;
- Duty of Care obligations must be implemented, particularly in relation to waste handling and disposal;
- Waste should be managed sustainably and the environmental benefits of providing appropriate recycling facilities within new developments realised through effective waste recycling strategies;
- The impact of new development on waste management facilities should not prejudice the implementation of the waste hierarchy and/or efficient operation of such facilities;
- National waste target rates for reuse/recycling should meet the Waste Framework Directive (WFD) targets of:
  - Recovering at least 50% (by weight) of waste from household and other similar origins by 2020; and
  - Recovering at least 70% (by weight) of waste from construction and demolition by 2020; and
- To achieve a BREEAM “Very Good” rating, the development should aim for the BREEAM target of diverting 70% (by volume) and 80% (by weight) of non-hazardous construction waste from landfill, and 80% (by volume) and 90% (by weight) of demolition waste.

National Policy

14.2.4 In England, waste management strategies and principles are set out in a number of documents. The most relevant document to this assessment is the waste management section of the NN NPS (Ref 14.7) which stipulates the requirements of a waste assessment for proposed developments and is the primary source of policy guidance for NSIP projects. Consistent with other policy, the NN NPS emphasises the importance of the ‘waste hierarchy’ of prevention, re-use, recycling, and recovery, before disposal of waste. There is a requirement to minimise waste and manage waste in accordance with environmental best practice.
14.2.5 Other national documents are also of relevance for ensuring effective application of the waste hierarchy. These include those referred to below.


14.2.7 The Waste Strategy for England (DETR, 2007) (Ref 14.8) introduced new underlying principles of sustainable waste management. Key principles are defined in Table 14.1.

14.2.8 The Waste Management Plan for England (Ref 14.9) provides a single document that brings all national waste policies under the same umbrella. It includes the policies originally set out by Planning Policy Statement 10 for Waste, (PPS10), (now withdrawn) (Ref 14.10).

14.2.9 The waste management principles of the waste hierarchy are now fully incorporated in the Waste Management Plan for England (Ref 14.9) as objectives to be delivered through waste local plans. The requirement for a Best Practicable Environmental Option (BPEO) appraisal has been replaced in PPS 10 with a requirement for Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)/ Sustainability Appraisal (SA) to be undertaken for planning strategies and for it to be demonstrated that planned facilities represent the Best Available Technology (BAT).

Table 14.1 Principles of Waste Management- Definitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste Hierarchy</td>
<td>A theoretical framework used as a guide to the waste management options that should be considered when assessing the BPEO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste as a Resource</td>
<td>Certain wastes can be directly used or separated / processed for use as a replacement for raw materials, saving resources and potentially reducing energy use or other impacts associated with virgin resource extraction and transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity Principle</td>
<td>Certain wastes can be directly used or separated / processed for use as a replacement for raw materials, saving resources and potentially reducing energy use or other impacts associated with virgin resource extraction and transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Self-sufficiency</td>
<td>Where practical, waste should be treated or disposed of within the region in which it is produced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Practicable Environmental Option- (BPEO)</td>
<td>Defined by the Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (1988) as the outcome of a systematic and consultative decision making procedure which emphasises the protection and conservation of the environment across land, air and water”. The BPEO procedure establishes, for a given set of objectives, the option that provides the most benefits, as a whole, at acceptable cost, in both the short term and the long term. SA is designed to ensure compliance with SEA and as such includes for requirements on environmental decision making such as an opportunity for the public to express their opinion on draft plans (community involvement), take into account significant environmental effects including those on human health, material assets and climatic factors and a full assessment of alternative options and reasons why alternatives have been assessed and why others have not.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Construction, Demolition and Excavation Waste

14.2.10 The EU Waste Directive (Ref 14.5) has set the following target for construction and demolition waste:

14.2.11 Recover 70% of construction and demolition waste by 2020.

14.2.12 The Strategy for Sustainable Construction (Ref 14.11) detailed specific targets and policies for Construction, Demolition and Excavation waste (CD&E) waste which includes the reduction of waste to landfill by 50% by 2012 when compared to 2008 levels.

14.2.13 The construction stage assessment assesses the likely waste that will arise from building-out the development, using established national benchmarks. By quantifying the waste predicted to be generated from the development based on current practice (i.e. reported benchmarks), it is possible to then estimate the quantities of waste that could be reused and recycled, and set targets to reduce or eliminate volumes of waste entering landfill.

14.2.14 Waste volumes and tonnage arising from the construction of the development have been calculated using the floor areas provided in the Area Schedule of the Site and typical waste volumes from the Building Research Establishment (BRE) SmartWaste benchmark data and data on typical compositions (Ref 14.12). In the context of BRE's SmartWaste benchmark data, the proposed buildings have been categorised as ‘Industrial Buildings’.

14.2.15 The information on waste is provided by volume (in cubic metres (m3) or litres). Waste volumes are converted to tonnes using conversion factors provided in WRAP’s waste volume to mass conversion tool, (Ref 14.17).

Operational Waste

14.2.16 The waste generation amounts for the completed, occupied development are estimated using British Standard 5906:2005 Waste Management in Buildings (Ref 14.13). This document provides guidance on the likely waste arisings and consequent storage provision.

14.2.17 The information on waste is provided by volume (in cubic metres (m3) or litres). Waste volumes are converted to tonnes using conversion factors provided in in WRAP’s waste volume to mass conversion tool, (Ref 14.17).

14.2.18 Operational waste at the site is likely to consist of waste categorised as commercial/industrial.

14.2.19 The Waste Management Plan for England (Ref 14.9) and the Review of Waste Policy in England (2011) (Ref 14.14) state that commercial and industrial waste should be included when considering waste management. The EU Waste Directive set targets for business waste, which includes:

14.2.20 The set-up of separate collections of waste for at least paper, metal, plastic and glass where technically, environmentally and economically practicable for both household and business waste.

14.2.21 While ultimately the assessment and determination of the application will lie with the Planning Inspectorate and Secretary of State respectively, it is still important to consider the Proposed Development’s waste arisings in the context of Local Policy.

14.2.22 At a local level, the Northamptonshire Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy (2012), (Ref 14.15) provides a commitment to increase recycling rates across the county while ensuring the waste hierarchy is utilised when considering the best options for managing Local Authority Collected Municipal Waste, (LACMW). This principle will be applied to the generated commercial industrial waste from the operational phase.
14.2.23 While the Northampton Joint Municipal Waste Strategy does not consider commercial/industrial waste arising from the operational phase of the development, the waste management plan for England referred to above will be used when determining the best practicable option for managing that specific waste stream.

Decommissioning Waste

14.2.24 The scheme is designed to be permanent. Therefore, the potential waste volume from decommissioning of the proposed development is not considered. If, at some point in the future, it is decided to decommission the development, this will be subject to a new application for which a separate waste strategy will be developed.

14.2.25 The design of buildings and supporting infrastructure, the construction methods and materials chosen will be considered throughout the detailed design stage of the proposed development to ensure ‘cradle-to-grave’ use of materials.

14.3 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY, INFORMATION SOURCES AND SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

Spatial Scope

14.3.1 The spatial scope of waste assessments is often not easily defined as issues associated with waste management can be far-reaching and extend beyond the application boundary.

14.3.2 For this assessment the area in which construction and operational waste arisings are likely to occur however, is defined by the application boundary of the Proposed Development with a focus on the SRFI site (‘the Main Site’) rather than on the Highways and infrastructure related sites.

Temporal Scope

14.3.3 The baseline year for the assessment is 2017/2018. Waste environmental impacts have been assessed for the construction phasing from 2020, (estimated construction start date at the time of assessment), with target development completion in 2026. Operational impacts are based on whole development operation from 2026.

Technical Scope

14.3.4 A scoping opinion has been provided by the Planning Inspectorate (Ref- TR050006, December 2016). The Scoping Report proposes that waste be scoped into the ES on the basis that adequate information is not available to justify its exclusion. Further detail of this is provided in paragraphs 3.19 and 3.20 of the Planning Inspectorate scoping report.

14.3.5 Waste is defined by EC Directive 2008/98/EC (the Waste Framework Directive), as amended by EC Directive 91/156/EEC, as "any substance or object which the holder discards or intends or is required to discard".

14.3.6 The assessment includes consideration of impacts associated with construction and demolition phases as well as waste generated during the operational phase, including measures taken during the development design process to maximise the opportunities for the re-use of construction materials.

14.3.7 The mitigation section presented herein considers two aspects. Firstly, the extent to which measures to reduce, re-use and recycle waste, have been or would be incorporated. Secondly, it considers waste arisings that cannot be avoided, and the measures that would be taken to prevent environmental harm through the safe storage, handling and management of that waste.
Baseline Data Collection

14.3.8 The existing site is predominantly undeveloped agricultural land. The only buildings on site are two small clusters of farm buildings/huts in the eastern and south-central areas of the main site. The southern cluster, close to the existing woodland on site, is currently used as a base for clay pigeon shooting. Therefore, very limited volumes of waste are currently generated from the proposed site.

14.3.9 Baseline waste arisings at a regional level have been derived from the Northampton Minerals and Waste Monitoring Report 2016 (Ref 14.16). These arisings include Construction, Demolition & Excavation, (CD&E) waste as well as operational waste, which for the purpose of this assessment will be classified as Commercial and Industrial, (C&I) waste in line with how the waste stream is reported.

14.3.10 The waste arisings from the proposed development will be categorised as Commercial waste based on the intended distribution development mix (B8). These arisings will then be compared against this C&I baseline regional data to determine capacity levels.

Impact Assessment and Significance Criteria

14.3.11 The significance of waste arisings is largely based on the nature of the waste, the location and capacity of local and regional waste management facilities and the sustainability of the disposal or processing method.

14.3.12 There are no specific criteria for assessing the magnitude, sensitivity of receptors and significance of effects arising from the management of waste. Each project is evaluated per individual characteristics. Overall, the purpose of a waste management assessment is to characterise development waste types and arisings and to identify existing and potential methods employed for their management, as well as the significance of change associated with a proposed development in comparison to the current and likely future situation without the development. For the purposes of this assessment, a methodology has been utilised that allocates a ‘score’ based on various considerations of waste type and quantity, as well as disposal:

- The type and quantity of waste (magnitude of waste impact) when compared to the current baseline, scored 1 to 5 (1 = less than 1% current baseline (<1%), 2 = Between 1 and 2% of current baseline (1 - 2%), 3 = Between 2 and 5% of current baseline (2 - 5%), 4 = Between 5 and 10% of current baseline (5 – 10%), 5 = Above 10% of current baseline (>10%). The score is a subjective assessment based on our understanding of local conditions and infrastructure;

- The distance waste is transported for processing or disposal (the proximity principle), scored 1 to 3 where score 1 = immediately local disposal or very high locally available capacity, score 2 = regional disposal, and score 3 = distant and or limited capacity available; and

- The method of disposal with regard to the waste hierarchy (sustainability) considering mitigation and impact avoidance measures, scored 1 to 4, where score 1 = re-use, score 2 = recycle, score 3 = recovery (e.g. energy from waste), score 4 = disposal (e.g. landfill).

14.3.13 This approach broadly conforms with the standard Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) approach of assessing significance as a function of the magnitude of impact and sensitivity of any receptors. In this case, magnitude of impact and proximity and sustainability of receptors.

14.3.14 The multiplication of scores from the three categories provides an indication of the significance of each type of waste arising. Based on various waste arising and handling scenarios, a threshold scale of significance is subsequently used as a guide for assessment (see Table 14.2).
### Table 14.2 Magnitude of Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnitude and Significance of Impact on Receptor</th>
<th>1-9</th>
<th>10-20</th>
<th>21-39</th>
<th>40-60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negligible Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1-9</th>
<th>10-20</th>
<th>21-39</th>
<th>40-60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large quantity &amp; local disposal &amp; recycling/ landfill OR Small quantity &amp; national disposal &amp; landfill OR Medium quantity &amp; regional disposal &amp; recycling/recovery</td>
<td>Large quantity &amp; national disposal &amp; recycling OR Medium quantity &amp; regional disposal &amp; landfill OR Quite small quantity &amp; national disposal &amp; landfill</td>
<td>Large quantity &amp; regional disposal &amp; landfill OR Medium - large quantity &amp; national disposal &amp; landfill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cumulative Effects

14.3.15 The study area for the consideration of cumulative effects has been developed considering the predicted extent of impacts associated with waste regarding the Proposed Development, and with the point at which the associated effects become insufficient to contribute in any meaningful way to those of another development.

14.3.16 A precautionary approach has been adopted in the definition of the study area to help to ensure that all potentially significant effects (including cumulative effects) have been effectively identified. Information on the likely extent of impacts associated with other developments in the area has also been considered. Where sufficient information exists, the study area includes all known proposed developments in the surrounding area that could potentially result in cumulative effects.

14.3.17 The developments considered as part of this assessment are:

- Northampton South SUE – allocated in the adopted Core Strategy and an application has been subsequently approved (at planning appeal);
- South of Brackmills SUE – allocated in the adopted Core Strategy;
- Rail Central SRFI (proposed), although not a commitment this proposed (emerging) NSIP will be considered alongside the above commitments, and the Northampton Gateway proposals.
14.4 BASELINE CONDITIONS

14.4.1 This section summarises the existing waste arisings of the Site and the surrounding area. These conditions are considered in the context of wider local, district, regional and national waste arisings. The information provides the baseline against which the potential impacts of the Proposed Development have been assessed.

Existing Baseline Conditions

Construction, Demolition and Excavation (‘CD&E’) Waste

14.4.2 The current arisings from the Northampton region for the financial year 2014/15 are taken from the Northamptonshire Minerals and Waste Monitoring Report, 2016, (Ref 14.16). The report shows a total forecast amount of 1.35 Million tonnes, (Mt), for construction, excavation and demolition waste arising during 2014/15. This is the most up to date data available.

14.4.3 Reviewing the historic 2013/14 monitoring data alongside the 2014/15 monitoring data, the amount of CD&E waste produced was 1.35Mt in line with the current forecast amounts. Assuming these arisings are likely to remain consistent with the historic data, we can estimate the baseline, without development (2017/18), waste arisings will be in line with the 2014/15 data.

14.4.4 Estimated CD&E regional waste arisings for the baseline year without development in 2017/18 are 1.35Mt.

Commercial and Industrial Waste

14.4.5 The current arisings from the Northampton region for the financial year 2014/15 are taken from the Northamptonshire Minerals and Waste Monitoring Report, 2016, (Ref 14.16). The report shows a total forecast amount of 1.065 Million tonnes, (Mt), for commercial and industrial (C&I) waste arisings during 2014/15.

14.4.6 The historic regional waste monitoring data available includes the contribution made by household waste arisings combined with C&I arisings. The split between the two categories is unknown. Therefore, it is not possible to establish a yearly increase/decrease using regional data. Therefore, national statistics on waste (Ref 14.9) have been used.

14.4.7 The statistics show on average a 5% decrease per year in C&I waste generation in the UK between 2012 and 2014. Therefore, this 5% decrease year on year will be applied to the 2014/15 Northampton baseline for C&I arisings, (1.065Mt).

14.4.8 The estimated C&I regional waste arisings for baseline year without development 2017/18, is 1,064,994.90 tonnes per annum (tpa).

Waste Facilities and Capacity

14.4.9 The Proposed Development is located approximately 3 miles south of the Northampton town centre. Therefore, waste management/disposal facilities close to this geographical area will be used.

14.4.10 The regional landfill and waste management capacity for the area is based on 2016 Northampton Minerals and Waste Monitoring Report, (Ref 14.6). As of 2014/15 there was 1.78Mtpa landfill/disposal capacity and 5.58Mtpa of waste management capacity.

14.4.11 The permitted landfill capacity void (approximately 1.78 Mt) shows the landfill capacity requirement for inert and hazardous landfill in Northamptonshire is being met, however, there is currently a shortage of non-inert capacity.

14.4.12 Therefore, while most waste types can be disposed of locally, there may be a requirement to transport non-inert waste arisings off site, to a facility with adequate capacity.
14.4.13 A detailed review of the local waste facilities will be completed by the site contractor during the construction phase, and the site operator during the operational phase prior to waste disposal. This would establish the most appropriate waste management options for the given waste stream. The review should comprise the following factors when selecting appropriate facilities:

- A geographical review of waste facility locations to ensure distance to the facility is accounted for in the decision-making process; and
- Available capacity (m³) for the specific waste streams arising from the Proposed Development.

**Future Baseline Conditions**

14.4.14 The future baseline is the predicted site condition if the Proposed Development did not go ahead. The date for the future baseline assessed for the Proposed Development is 2026, in line with the targeted development completion date.

14.4.15 It is assumed that were the Proposed Development not progressed the land affected by the Proposed Development would continue to be used as per its existing primarily agricultural uses. Therefore, it is considered there will be no significant waste arising resulting from the Site in the future baseline year of 2026, as the uses on site are not anticipated to change significantly.

### 14.5 ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS

14.5.1 The construction and operational arisings of the Proposed Development have been estimated using industry benchmark figures as detailed below.

14.5.2 Construction waste volumes have been estimated using Building Research Establishment (BRE) SMARTWaste Benchmark Values *(Ref 14.12)*. Proposed floor areas are multiplied by the relevant benchmark values. The results of which are subsequently converted to tonnage.

14.5.3 The rail freight terminal and warehousing facilities will comprise up to 468,324 sqm (approximately 5 million sq ft), (gross internal area) of warehousing and ancillary buildings, with additional floor space provided in the form of mezzanine floor space (155,000 sq.m.).

14.5.4 Demolition waste has been calculated using the volumes of the existing farm buildings/huts, located in the eastern-central area of the site as well as the buildings adjacent to the existing woodland. These will be demolished to accommodate the proposed development. The following assumptions have been made in order to provide a worst-case scenario.

14.5.5 The heights of the buildings have been calculated using an approximate 4m height from floor to eaves. All buildings have been assumed to have level roofs. We have also assumed a constant density throughout the structures, and based our conversion factor on 0.42 tonnes per m³ as specified for metals within WRAP’s building materials to mass conversion factors, *(Ref 14.17)*. A single heavy dense material type is assumed throughout the building structure. Therefore, the figures provided are a conservative worst case scenario of demolition waste arisings.

14.5.6 Excavation waste has been calculated using cut and fill calculations completed for the site (and detailed elsewhere in the application documents).

14.5.7 Operational waste for both commercial and industrial facilities have been calculated using guidance given in BS 5906:2005 *(Ref 14.13)*. Pacific}

**Construction Phase**

14.5.8 The construction phase for the purpose of this assessment includes wastes arising during the demolition and excavation phases.
Impact

14.5.9 The construction, demolition and excavation waste that will arise from the Proposed Development has the potential to increase the burden on local landfill sites and result in the depletion of natural resources. This potential impact has been considered as part of this waste assessment. It is anticipated that a large proportion of the CD&E waste arisings would be beneficially reused / recycled, negating the need to send such wastes to be landfilled. For this assessment, we have used a predicted 89% re-use and recycling rate based on figures derived within the UK statistics on waste recovery for construction waste. (Ref 14.9).

Construction of Warehousing, Offices and Mezzanine

14.5.10 The extent of buildings for the development has been based on the up to 468,324 sqm (approximately 5 million sqft) (gross internal area (GIA)) of warehousing and ancillary buildings, with up to 155,000 sqm of additional floorspace provided in the form of mezzanine floorspace. For this assessment, a combined total of 623,000.00 GIA has been used to approximate the amount of waste arisings from this aspect of the development. A conversion factor of 12.6 tonnes of waste arisings per 100m² has been used, (Ref 14.13).

Construction and Excavation of By-Pass and Highways Improvement Works

14.5.11 Construction materials regarding the highways construction would be ordered to measure and quantities specified once detailed technical designs have been agreed. This will allow waste to be prevented where possible. The material amounts would then be added into the detailed Site Waste Management Plan. At this stage material amounts are not able to be specified. Therefore, waste arisings, from the construction phase of the highways will confirmed once the designs of the road have been formalised.

14.5.12 Initial cut and fill calculations show that there will be no requirement for the disposal of excavated material off site. Any excavated material from this element of the proposals will be incorporated into those areas which require additional material for levelling purposes.

14.5.13 It is therefore considered that the environmental impact resulting from excavation waste associated with the highways element of the development will not be significant and can be considered negligible.

Demolition Waste

14.5.14 Demolition waste wold consist of the removal of three buildings/huts, located in the eastern-central area of the site, as well as the wooded area in the central portion of the site. These total a square meterage of approximately 830m². Assuming a uniform 4m height this gives a total volume of 3,320.00m³. As these building’s will be removed completely from the site, this is also the total amount of demolition waste arisings.

Excavation Waste Arising from the SRFI Construction

14.5.15 Regarding Excavation waste, it is considered that there will not be any waste arisings from on-site excavation activities, due to the waste either being re-used on-site or exported off site for re-use. It is therefore considered that the environmental impact resulting from excavation waste will not be significant and can be considered negligible.
Table 14.3 Estimated Construction, Demolition and Excavation Waste Arisings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Building GIA (m2) or Waste Amount (m3)</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
<th>Waste (Tonnes)</th>
<th>Waste after 89% Reuse/Recycling (Tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction of Warehouses, and Mezzanine</td>
<td>598,889.00m2</td>
<td>12.6 tonnes per 100m2 (ref 14.12)</td>
<td>81,275.00</td>
<td>8,940.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of Ancillary Offices</td>
<td>24,435.00 m2</td>
<td>23.8 tonnes per m2 (ref 14.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and Excavation of Bypass and Highways Improvement</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42 tonnes per m3 for Metal (Ref 14.17)</td>
<td>1,395.00</td>
<td>153.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Waste</td>
<td>3,320.00 m3</td>
<td>0.42 tonnes per m3 for Metal (Ref 14.17)</td>
<td>1,395.00</td>
<td>153.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation Waste Arising from SRFI Construction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42 tonnes per m3 for Metal (Ref 14.17)</td>
<td>1,395.00</td>
<td>153.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CD&amp;E Waste</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82,670.00</td>
<td>9,093.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures above are all either based on GIA's for the development or referenced metrics.

Effect

14.5.16 As shown in Table 14.3 CD&E waste arisings would account for approximately 82,670.00 tonnes of waste arisings from the Proposed Development. It is anticipated that a large proportion of the CD&E waste arisings would be beneficially reused/recycled, negating the need to send such wastes to be landfilled.

14.5.17 As illustrated in Table 14.3, if 89% of the waste arisings are reused/recycled, this would reduce the volume of waste from 82,670.00 tonnes to approximately 9,093.00 tonnes which equates to approximately 0.7% of the current baseline, (1.35 MT), (score 1 for type and quantity of waste as detailed in paragraph 14.3.11-14.3.13).

14.5.18 Baseline conditions indicate that local and regional landfill capacity is adequate and can accommodate the predicted CD&E waste arisings from the Proposed Development (score 2 for regional capacity).

14.5.19 Whilst it is anticipated that a large proportion of waste arisings would be beneficially reused/recycled, there would still be a need to send some such wastes to landfill. Therefore, a score of 4 for landfill is given as this represents the worst case (although this is not representative of the whole waste stream). On this basis, construction phase waste management effects would not be significant (total score 8) and can be considered negligible, (see Table 14.5).

Operational Phase

Impact

14.5.20 Operational waste from the development would comprise commercial and industrial waste. This has the potential to increase the levels of commercial and industrial waste generated in the region beyond the capacity of the local waste management facilities. Figures relating to the development proposals have been calculated as per Appendix 14.1. A recycling rate has been assumed based on data from 2009. In 2009 approximately 52% of commercial and industrial waste in the UK was recycled. (Ref 14.14).
Commercial Waste

14.5.21 The waste produced during the operational phase of the development is defined as ‘Commercial’ in line with the sites distribution (B8) Use Class specification. Therefore, industrial waste will not arise from the proposals. Commercial waste arisings would comprise waste generated from employees on the site and waste from process-based activities such as import, storage or export of goods.

14.5.22 With regard to the employee derived waste the Socio-Economic chapter should be referred to for specific split of employment type breakdown. This waste assessment has categorised all employees as office workers as this is the most representative and robust category available under the metric provided within BS5906:2005- Waste Management in Buildings – Code of Practice, Ref 14.13. Therefore, subsequent figures and calculations in Appendix 14.1 reflect this approach and are considered robust.

14.5.23 The estimated volume of operational commercial waste from the Proposed Development is detailed in Table 14.4 below. Calculations are detailed in Appendix 14.1.

Table 14.4 Estimated Operational Commercial Arisings Per Annum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste</th>
<th>Waste (Tonnes Per Annum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment Generated Waste</td>
<td>19,369 (Refer to Appendix 14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehousing and Rail Freight</td>
<td>155,688.00 (Refer to Appendix 14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Commercial Arisings</td>
<td>175,057.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Arisings assuming a 52% recycling rate</td>
<td>84,027.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect

14.5.24 The total estimated commercial waste arisings from the Proposed Development’s operational phase are estimated to be 175,057.00 tonnes per annum. These figures are based on conservative estimates and data available at the time of undertaking the impact assessment. For example, this figure assumes no recycling of waste. Using such conservative estimates help ensure a robust, or worst-case assessment.

14.5.25 The scale of commercial waste arisings is considered within the context of regional estimated baseline commercial and industrial waste arisings of 942,244.00 tonnes per year in the Northampton area. Arisings from the Proposed Development equates to approximately 18.5% of the current baseline.

14.5.26 Calculations have also been provided based on a 52% recycling rate, (Ref 14.4). If this were achieved at the Proposed Development, the volume of commercial waste would be further reduced from 18.5% of the current baseline arisings to 8.9%, approximately 84,027 tonnes per annum, providing a score of 4 for % contribution to baseline.

14.5.27 Baseline conditions indicate that local and regional landfill capacity is adequate and can accommodate the predicted commercial waste arisings from the Proposed Development. Disposal will be local so, therefore, a score of 1 for disposal location is given.

14.5.28 Whilst it is anticipated that a large proportion of waste arisings would be beneficially reused / recycled, there would still be a need to send some such wastes to landfill. Therefore, a score of 4 for landfill is given as this represents the worst case (although this is not representative of the whole waste stream). On this basis, operational phase waste management effects would be minor, (total score 16) (see Table 14.6).

14.5.29 The operational waste impact from the proposed development would not lead to any new adverse or additional impacts and is therefore considered not significant.
14.6 MITIGATION AND ENHANCEMENT

Construction Phase

14.6.1 To minimise impacts associated with construction waste, the developers assigned contractor will implement a Site Waste Management Plan (SWMP) – a Framework SWMP forms part of the Application and provides a context for a more detailed SWMP in due course. The Framework SWMP is enclosed as Appendix 14.2). As part of the SWMP, the construction contractors would reduce, re-use and recycle waste, where possible. This would, if necessary, include the use of on-site re-processing equipment such as screens and crushers, where appropriate and practical. The CEMP (Appendix 2.1) also includes measures regarding waste during the construction process.

14.6.2 Materials arising from site clearance and excavations would be integrated with the future works programme onsite or be considered for appropriate off site building projects, or off site treatment.

14.6.3 The demolition of on-site buildings will also result in the potential generation of associated waste arisings.

14.6.4 Opportunities for the re-use of onsite structures such as walls, hardstanding and structures would be considered. Where this is not possible, the contractor would consider the use of a crusher to allow for the reuse of recycled aggregates on-site.

14.6.5 Waste segregation would be implemented during building demolition works to ensure waste containing potential Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) is minimised and therefore minimising the volume of waste requiring disposal to a suitably licensed hazardous waste facility. Waste segregation would also allow for materials to be reused and recycled therefore reducing the volume of waste material requiring disposal.

14.6.6 Where practicable the construction off-site of components for the construction of buildings would be undertaken i.e. prefabrication. This would reduce the requirement for raw materials to be brought to site and reduce the potential for construction waste generation.

14.6.7 Where possible, further reductions in construction waste would be achieved through the reduction of packaging used in the transport of both raw materials and fabricated construction components.

14.6.8 It is also important to consider broader sustainability issues such as resource consumption. The contractor, where possible, would minimise the consumption of virgin raw materials by specifying products and materials with recycled content and which are durable with a long life.

14.6.9 All construction staff would be trained in the appropriate use of materials on site through inductions, tool box talks and at regular intervals.

Operational Phase

Commercial

14.6.10 Waste from commercial activities would be controlled and monitored through the specific operators and occupants. At this stage the arisings are based on estimations and more specific operational waste volumes could be calculated once tenants of the units are confirmed.

14.6.11 In accordance with good practice, many occupants would as matter of course have systems for the separation of recyclable items for collection, and encourage waste minimisation as part of good business practices.

14.6.12 All commercial wastes would need to be stored separately and in appropriate containers and a commercial contract entered into, with an appropriate waste carrier. This will be for the individual occupiers to arrange and manage, geared around their own requirements and to reflect the types and quantities of waste they produce.
There would be adequate storage facilities for the various types of wastes within the proposed commercial areas.

Operational waste management measures are also to be secured through a requirement included within the DCO.

14.7 RESIDUAL EFFECTS

This section of the report reviews the significance of impacts resulting from both the construction and operational phases of the development while taking into account the presence of mitigation measures.

**Construction Phase**

Table 14.3 has indicated the impacts of the construction waste arisings at the Proposed Development. The assessment prior to mitigation measures considers the significance of any environmental impact to be negligible, the residual effects are therefore considered negligible and will not result in any adverse significant environmental impacts.

**Operational Phase**

Table 14.4 have indicated the impacts of the operational waste arisings at the Proposed Development. The assessment prior to mitigation measures considers the significance of any environmental impact to be minor. With the presence of mitigation the residual effects are therefore considered negligible and will not result in any adverse significant environmental impacts.

Table 14.5 below provides a summary of the overall waste effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Type</th>
<th>Approx. waste Forecast (Tpa)</th>
<th>Magnitude of waste arising: score based on waste type and quantity (1 = Low, 5 = High)</th>
<th>Proximity/capacity of disposal facility: Local/regional/national (1 = Local/high capacity available, 3 = Distant/Limited Capacity)</th>
<th>Sustainability of disposal method: (Position of disposal route on the waste hierarchy) (1 = Re-use to 4 = Disposal)</th>
<th>Score: &lt;10 Negligible Significant Effect 10 - 20 Minor 21 - 45 Moderate 46 - 60 Major Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD&amp;E waste (including soil strip)</td>
<td>9,093.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 (negligible effect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial waste</td>
<td>84,027</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 (minor adverse effect)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.8 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

14.8.1 The following cumulative developments have been considered as part of the waste assessment.

- Northampton South Sustainable Urban Extension, (SUE), (JCS Policy N5) – 1,000 dwellings, primary school and local centre: committed with planning approval;
- Northampton South of Brackmills SUE (JCS Policy N6) – 1,300 dwellings, primary school and local centre: committed; and
- Rail Central - not committed, however is being assessed for the purposes of robustness of assessment given the proximity to the Proposed Development and in light of the theoretical potential for the site to come forward.

14.8.2 For the purpose of this assessment two scenarios have been considered:

- Cumulative impact assessing the Proposed Development with the two committed SUE’s without Rail Central; and
- Cumulative impact with the two SUE’s and with Rail Central.

Without Rail Central Scenario

14.8.3 Based on the Northamptonshire “Local Assessment of Waste Management Needs document (2013)”, (Ref 14.18) predicts CD&E waste arising from the construction phase will remain constant over time reflective in part to the impact of the landfill tax and the Aggregates Levy, which will encourage the re-use of CD&E waste on site in order to avoid additional disposal and raw material costs. However, for the purpose of this assessment and to reflect a robust approach the construction phase cumulative impact has been assessed as follows.

14.8.4 If the committed SUE developments assessed are taken forward for construction and the Proposed Development is also approved, it is likely that collectively they would generate a construction waste volume that is >1% of the current baseline arisings (score 2 for type and quantity of waste). Given that such wastes would be disposed of locally / regionally (score 2) and that some residual waste arising would be subject to landflling (score 4 - although this is not representative of the whole waste stream), this indicates that there would be a potential minor cumulative waste management effect (total score 16).

14.8.5 With regard to the operational phase. The type of waste being generated from the SUE will predominantly be classified as municipal in nature and managed at separate facilities to waste arisings categorised as commercial in nature. Therefore, impact on baseline capacity will be subject to a minor effect. While there will be commercial arisings from both SUE’s relating to the education and community elements of the developments, there is sufficient capacity within local waste management facilities to manage these arisings. It is also reasonable to assume that these schemes will be required to follow the requirements of the local and national legislation and waste planning. Therefore, collectively, these developments are unlikely to significantly deplete the existing and planned waste capacity of Northamptonshire. Cumulative operational phase waste management effects are anticipated to be of minor significance.

With Rail Central Scenario

14.8.6 If the Rail Central SRFI were also brought forward alongside the SUE’s the cumulative impact would be assessed as follows.

14.8.7 The construction impacts would be similar to those assessed for the “without” scenario based on the fact that the majority of excavation material from the Rail Central proposals will be re-used on site or sent for recycling to local or regional facilities with existing capacity. It is estimated that <1000m$^3$ of the excavated material will be transported off site for recycling and as such alongside the fact that all excavation material from the Northampton Gateway project will be re-used on site, the cumulative impact from this element can be considered negligible.
14.8.8 The cumulative impact for the operational phase will be influenced by the fact that the Rail Central site will result in commercial waste arisings to the degree of 3,380m$^3$ of waste per week. Assuming a 1m$^3$:1 tonne ratio for purpose of a conservative estimate it can be estimated that 3,380m$^3$ is equivalent to 3,380 tonnes of waste. On this basis the site would generate an approximate annual arising of (3,382 x 52 weeks) 175,560 tonnes per annum. The Northampton gateway site is predicted (prior to recycling) to generate in the region of 175,057 tonnes per annum of commercial waste.

14.8.9 When combined the two sites would produce a potential 350,617 tonnes per annum of commercial waste. In line with the criteria use throughout this assessment, the following can be concluded.

14.8.10 The operational waste volume would be $>10\%$ of the current baseline arisings (score 5 for type and quantity of waste). Given that such wastes would be disposed of locally / regionally (score 2) and that some residual waste arising would be subject to landfilling (score 4 - although this is not representative of the whole waste stream), this indicates that there would be a potential major cumulative impact (total score 40).

14.8.11 However, the above assessment works on the basis that no recycling or re-use takes place at either development. So therefore, represents a worst-case scenario. Assuming mitigation measures and recycling will be implemented at all the assessed developments the cumulative impacts during the operational phase can be significantly reduced, so a minor cumulative impact overall remains likely.
14.9 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

14.9.1 Based on the information available as detailed herein, the construction and operation of the Proposed Development is assessed as not significant and can be considered negligible. Following the implementation of applicable impact avoidance and mitigation measures, all potential residual waste management effects associated with the Proposed Development are assessed as being negligible (i.e. not significant).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Phase</th>
<th>Potential Effect of Development pre-mitigation</th>
<th>Significance of Effect pre-mitigation</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
<th>Significance of effect following mitigation (Residual)</th>
<th>Nature of effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Depletion of resources and strain on local waste management facilities. Excess waste levels being diverted to landfill.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Production of a SWMP, use of prefabricated construction techniques, use of recycled materials, appropriate training.</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>T &amp; I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>High levels of waste arisings due to no recycling provision. Environmental impact due to waste being diverted to landfill.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Appropriate on site recycling facilities, appropriate training (commercial).</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>P &amp; I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T – Temporary, P-Permanent, I – Indirect, D – Direct